

Cynllun Datblygu Lleol Diwygiedig Sir Gaerfyrddin 2018 - 2033
Carmarthenshire Revised Local Development Plan 2018 - 2033

Ffurflen Sylwadau 2il Cynllun Datblygu Lleol Diwygiedig Adneuo
2nd Deposit Revised LDP Representation Form

Hoffem gael eich barn am yr 2il Cynllun Datblygu Lleol Diwygiedig Adneuo (Cynllun Datblygu Lleol) ac ar ddogfennau sy'n cefnogi'r Cynllun Datblygu Lleol.

Dylid defnyddio'r ffurflen hon ar gyfer pob sylw (h.y. sylwadau neu wrthwynebiadau) Mae fersiynau nodiadau cyfarwyddyd ar gael o www.sirgar.llyw.cymru. Os ydych yn cyflwyno copi papur, atodwch dudalennau ychwanegol lle bod angen.

Bydd angen i unrhyw sylwadau a wnaed i'r CDLI Adneuo 1af gael eu hailgyflwyno fel rhan o'r ymgynghoriad ar yr 2il Adnau CDLI. Dim ond sylwadau a wnaed yn briodol i'r 2il CDLI Diwygiedig Adneuo fydd yn cael eu cyflwyno yn yr Arholiad. Ni fydd sylwadau a wnaed i'r CDLI Adneuo 1af bellach yn cael eu hystyried ac ni fydd yn rhan o'r Arholiad.

Mae gan y ffurflen hon ddwy ran: Rhan A (Manylion personol) a Rhan B (eich sylw). Sylwer y bydd Rhan B ar gael i'r cyhoedd a chaiff ei hanfon at Yr Arolygiaeth Gynllunio.

Mae'n rhaid derbyn eich sylwadau erbyn 4:30pm ar y 14 o Ebrill 2023.

Dychwelwch ffurflenni at:

Rheolwr Blaen-gynllunio, Lle a Chynaliadwyedd, Adran Lle a Seilwaith, 3 Heol Spilman, Caerfyrddin, SA31 1LE

We would like your views on the Revised Carmarthenshire Local Development Plan (LDP) 2nd Deposit, and also on documents which support the LDP.

This form should be used for all representations (i.e. comments or objections). Electronic versions and guidance notes are available at www.carmarthenshire.gov.wales. If you are submitting a paper copy, attach additional sheets as necessary.

Any representations made to the 1st Deposit LDP will need to be resubmitted as part of the consultation on the 2nd Deposit LDP. Only duly made representations to the 2nd Deposit Revised LDP will be submitted for examination. Representations made to the 1st Deposit LDP will no longer be considered and will **not** form part of the Examination.

This form has two parts: Part A (Personal details) and Part B (Your representation). Please note that Part B will be made publicly available and will be forwarded to the Planning Inspectorate.

Your representations must be received by 4:30pm on the 14th April 2023.

Please return forms to:

Forward Planning Manager, Place and Sustainability, Department of Sustainability and Infrastructure, 3 Spilman Street, Carmarthen, SA31 1LE.

RHAN A: Manylion cysylltu**PART A: Contact details**

<i>Eich manylion/manylion eich cleient</i> Your details / your client's details		<i>Manylion yr asiant (os ydynt yn berthnasol)</i> Agent's details (if relevant)
<i>Enw</i> Name	Veronica Thomas	
<i>Teitl swydd (lle y bo'n berthnasol)</i> Job title (where relevant)	Retired	
<i>Sefydliad (lle y bo'n berthnasol)</i> Organisation (where relevant)	n/a	
<i>Cyfeiriad</i> Address	[REDACTED]	
<i>Rhif ffôn</i> Telephone no	[REDACTED]	
<i>E-bost</i> Email address	[REDACTED]	
<i>Llofnodwyd</i> Signed	[REDACTED] Apologies, I do not know how to create an electronic signature. I am in the library and have asked the staff member, but she cannot help. If this presents a problem, I can come in to the Forward Planning office, if someone lets me know.	
<i>Dyddiad</i> Date	13/4/2023	

RHAN B: Eich sylw**PART B: Your representation**

<i>Eich enw / sefydliad</i> Your name / organisation	Veronica Thomas
---	-----------------

1. Ar ba ran/rannau o'r Cynllun (neu ddogfennau ategol) rydych yn rhoi sylwadau?

1. Which part(s) of the Plan (or supporting documents) are you commenting on?

Rhif(au) polisi Cynllun Datblygu Lleol neu ddyraniad safle

LDP policy or site allocation number(s)

Site AS/149/003

2nd Deposit Revised LDP Representation No. 5286

(formerly, in August 2018 Rep. No. 4084)

Rhif(au) paragraff y Cynllun Datblygu Lleol neu adran

LDP paragraph or section number(s)

Cyfeiriad(au) Map Cynigion y Cynllun Datblygu Lleol

LDP Proposals Map reference(s)

Os yw eich sylw yn perthyn i ddogfen ategol (e.e. y Gwerthusiad o Gynaliadwyedd), rhowch yr enw(au) a'r cyfeiriad(au) i mewn yma.

If your representation relates to a supporting document' including the:

Sustainability Appraisal), and/or

Habitat Regulations Assessment

insert the name of the document and section reference(s) and/or paragraph number here.

2. Cyn i chi esbonio eich sylwadau'n fanwl, byddai'n dda gwybod p'un a gredwch fod y Cynllun yn gadarn ai peidio, ac a yw'n bodloni'r gofynion gweithdrefnol.

I gael rhagor o wybodaeth am gadernid a gofynion gweithdrefnol, gweler y nodiadau cyfarwyddyd.

2. Before you set out your comments in detail, it would be helpful to know whether you think the Plan is sound and meets the procedural requirements.

For more information on soundness and procedural requirements, see the guidance notes.

Rwyf o'r farn bod y CDLI yn gadarn ac yn bodloni gofynion gweithdrefnol.

I think the LDP is sound and meets procedural requirements.

Rwyf o'r farn nad yw'r CDLI yn gadarn ac y dylid ei newid.

I think the LDP is unsound and should be changed.		
<i>Rwy'n credu na chafodd y gofynion gweithdrefnol eu bodloni.</i> I think that the procedural requirements have not been met.		X ?? No site inspection
3. A hoffech i'r Cynllun gynnwys polisi, dyraniad safle neu paragraff newydd? <i>Ticiwch bob un sy'n berthnasol.</i> 3. Would you like the LDP to include a <u>new</u> policy, site allocation or paragraph? Tick <u>all</u> that apply.		
<i>Dyraniad safle newydd</i> New site allocation		X
<i>Polisi newydd</i> New policy		
<i>Paragraff neu destun ategol newydd</i> New paragraph or supporting text		
4. Os ydych am ychwanegu dyraniad safle newydd, a wnaethoch gyflwyno'r safle yn flaenorol fel safle cais? Os felly, a fydddech crystal â rhoi enw a chyfeiriad y safle cais (os yw'n hysbys). 4. If you want to add a new site allocation, have you previously submitted the site as a Candidate Site? If so, please give the Candidate Site name and reference (if known).		
<i>Enw'r safle</i> Site name		
<i>Cyfeiriad y cais</i> Site reference	<u>Representation No. 5286 Site AS/149/003</u> <u>2nd Deposit Revised LDP</u> (formerly, in August 2018 Rep. No. 4084)	
<i>Os ydych am awgrymu safle newydd, dylech atodi cynllun o'r safle yn nodi ffiniau'r safle rydych am eu cynnwys yn y Cynllun a rhoi manylion am ei ddefnydd arfaethedig. Dylech ystyried a oes angen i'r ffurflen sylwadau hon gael ei hategu gan arfarniad o gynaliadwyedd. Lle bo gan newidiadau arfaethedig i Gynllun Datblygu effeithiau cynaliadwyedd sylweddol, bydd angen i chi ddarparu'r wybodaeth berthnasol ar gyfer arfarnu cynaliadwyedd. Mae'n rhaid i'r wybodaeth hon fod yn gyson â chwmpas a lefel manylder yr arfarniad o gynaliadwyedd a</i>		

gynhaliwyd gan yr Awdurdod. Dylai hefyd gyfeirio at yr un wybodaeth waelodlin wrth amlygu effeithiau sylweddol tebygol y polisi arfaethedig neu safle newydd.

If you want to suggest a new site, please attach a site plan identifying the boundaries of the site you wish to be included in the Plan and provide details of its proposed use. You should consider whether it is necessary for this comments form to be accompanied by a sustainability appraisal. Where proposed changes to a development plan have significant sustainability effects, you will need to provide the relevant sustainability appraisal information. This information must be consistent with the scope and level of detail of the sustainability appraisal conducted by the Authority. It should also refer to the same baseline information in identifying the likely significant effects of the revised policy or new site.

5. Rhestrwch eich sylwadau isod.

Dylech gynnwys yr holl wybodaeth, tystiolaeth a gwybodaeth ategol sydd eu hangen i gefnogi/cyfiawnhau eich sylw. Nodwch pa brawf/profion cadernid mae'r Cynllun Datblygu Lleol yn eu bodloni neu nad yw'n eu bodloni a pham (gweler y nodiadau cyfarwyddyd i gael rhagor o wybodaeth). Bydd hyn yn helpu'r Awdurdod a'r Arolygydd i ddeall y materion y byddwch yn eu codi. Dim ond os bydd yr Arolygydd yn eich gwahodd i fynd i'r afael â materion y bydd yn eu codi y byddwch yn gallu cyflwyno rhagor o wybodaeth i'r archwiliad. Sylwer na fydd yr Arolygydd wedi gallu gweld unrhyw sylwadau y gallech fod wedi'u gwneud mewn ymateb i ymgynghoriadau blaenorol.

5. Please set out your comments below.

Include all the information, evidence and supporting information necessary to support / justify your representation. Please indicate which soundness test(s) the LDP meets or does not meet, and why (see guidance notes for more information). This will help the Authority and the Inspector to understand the issues you raise. You will only be able to submit further information to the examination if the Inspector invites you to address matters that he or she may raise. Please note that the Inspector will not have access to comments you may have made in response to previous consultations.

In the previous Revised LDP Site Assessment Table, the candidate site (SR/149/003) that I submitted was assessed as follows:

Stage 1 - Is it compatible against the location of future growth presented in the Preferred Strategy? YES

Stage 2a – Initial Detailed Site Assessment ? NO

Stage 2b – Further Detailed Site Assessment? NO

The comments were - The site cannot accommodate 5 or more dwellings. It will not be included within the development limits as it has been identified as being at high risk of flooding within the SFCA.

COMMENT 1 - the character of the stream, and the land each side, has not been assessed by an inspector, which would clearly reveal the actual nature of both these things .

I understand that CCC has flood regulations to adhere to, **but there is no risk of flooding here.** It may be possible – I do not know - that, a long way back upstream, the stream (Nant Arw) runs between shallow banks or that, way downstream, once it has run beneath the road-bridge across Saron Road and continued off towards Tycroes the situation might be different. **That does not mean, though, that there is a risk of flooding here.**

I believe that the application should be assessed, at least, by someone coming out to actually get an accurate picture.

As Nant Arw comes alongside this site, there is an approx. 20' wide bay, with 8'-10' banks. On my side, **there is a 10' wide x 25' long area beside the stream, covered in very low vegetation. This has never even been covered in water - let alone risen a further 8'-10' to the top of the bank.**

Nant Arw continues in an S-shape through the next section of the site, flanked by 7'-10' banks and with a 10'-15' bay area - again covered in very low vegetation. **It has never even risen onto the grassy bay - let alone to the top of the 7'-10' banks.**

It continues along around another bend - with 8'-15' banks and forming a massive 15'-18' wide bay area.

It then comes along between myself and a neighbour's back garden (62 Saron Rd – the road bends sharply, therefore his garden backs onto Nant Arw). **Again, there is a huge 15'-20' bay area covered in vegetation and the stream has never even risen onto the lowest level of this - let alone risen a further 8'-10' to the garden.**

Finally, it comes around to run behind 64 Saron Rd and drops away very steeply between the high banks to a very low point, where it runs beneath the road bridge. **This steeply-falling character means that it takes away water extremely quickly, so that it never has a chance to rise more than about 2' along the length of the boundary to the candidate site - quite apart from the fact that it is so easily contained by large bay areas and very high banks.**

COMMENT 2 – there is planning and building precedent

There used to be a stone cottage on this piece of land (the candidate site). The cottage no longer exists. My elderly neighbour, who lived at 64 Saron Road, described how there used to even be a rough roadway accessing this site, coming off the main road, by the bridge, past where there is now a garage and down along the rear of 64 into the site at 68. He described how the coal-cart used to deliver down there.

It seems illogical that a site that previously had a dwelling on it has now been deemed not possible to have a dwelling on it.

In addition, it seems illogical that the development boundary now cuts across my little back garden, cutting off the large back garden.

Comment 3 - number of dwellings

I did not, at any point, propose there could be room for 5+ dwellings.

I had been informed that the site could, *in theory*, add 2-4 dwellings to the housing stock in Saron.

However, **I would be looking to provide just one bungalow** in what would be a lovely, quiet situation, **only enhancing the area** – just as there used to be one cottage on the site. [REDACTED]

[REDACTED] There is a tarmac drive right to the site, providing access. I have lived in no. 68 for 26 years and am greatly attached to the village, with family and friends not too far away, and would be very sorry to have to give up the house and move away.

As there is precedent for a dwelling on the site, it seems quite logical that there could be one there, again, if the development boundary had not been re-drawn to cut across the middle of my garden, thus excluding the site – for no apparent reason – and without a site inspection.

I would be grateful if CCC would, at least, arrange to view and assess the site in order to make a sound decision and I believe this is a fair request.

Ticiwch yma os ydych chi'n cyflwyno deunydd ychwanegol i gefnogi eich sylw.

Tick here if you are submitting additional material to support your representation.

X Yes

6. Os ydych yn gwrthwynebu'r Cynllun Datblygu Lleol, ydych am siarad mewn sesiwn gwrandawriad yr Archwiliad cyhoeddus?

Ar y cam hwn, gallwch wneud sylwadau'n ysgrifenedig yn unig (gelwir y rhain yn 'sylwadau ysgrifenedig'). Fodd bynnag, gall pawb sydd am newid y Cynllun ymddangos gerbron yr Arolygydd a siarad mewn 'sesiwn gwrandawriad' yn ystod yr Archwiliad cyhoeddus. Ond dylech gofio y bydd yr Arolygydd yn rhoi'r un pwys ar eich sylwadau ysgrifenedig ar y ffurflen hon â'r rheiny a wneir ar lafar mewn sesiwn gwrandawriad. Sylwer hefyd y bydd yr Arolygydd yn pennu'r weithdrefn fwyaf priodol ar gyfer darparu ar gyfer y rhain sydd eisiau rhoi tystiolaeth lafar.

6. If you are objecting to the LDP, do you want to speak at a hearing session of the public examination?

At this stage, you can only make comments in writing (these are called 'written representations'). However, everyone that wants to change the Plan can appear before and speak to the Inspector at a 'hearing session' during the public examination. But you should bear in mind that your written comments on this form will be given the same weight by the Inspector as those made verbally at a hearing session. Please also note that the Inspector will determine the most appropriate procedure for accommodating those who want to provide oral evidence.

Nid wyf am siarad mewn sesiwn gwrandawriad ac rwyf yn fodlon i'm sylwadau ysgrifenedig gael eu hystyried gan yr Arolygydd.
I do not want to speak at a public hearing and am happy for my written representations to be considered by the Inspector.

X
I do not want to

Rwyf am siarad mewn sesiwn gwrandawriad.
I want to speak at a public hearing.

Os ydych chi eisiau cyfranogi mewn gwrandawriad, nodwch isod am beth rydych chi eisiau siarad (e.e. 'Safle Tai ym Mhen y Graig' neu 'Y targed tai cyffredinol').
If you want to participate in a hearing, indicate below what you want to speak about (e.g. 'Housing site at Pen y Graig' or 'The overall housing target').

7. Os ydych am siarad, byddai'n ddefnyddiol pe gallech nodi ym mha iaith yr hoffech gael eich clywed.

7. If you wish to speak, it would be helpful if you could indicate in which language you would like to be heard.

Rwy'n dymuno cael fy nghlywed yn Gymraeg.
I wish to be heard in Welsh.

Rwy'n dymuno cael fy nghlywed yn Saesneg.

I wish to be heard in English.	
--------------------------------	--

Nodiadau cyfarwyddyd

Guidance notes

*Caiff Cynllun Datblygu Lleol Sir Gaerfyrddin ei archwilio gan Arolygydd annibynnol a benodir gan Lywodraeth Cymru. Gwaith yr Arolygydd yw ystyried a yw'r Cynllun yn bodloni **gofynion gweithdrefnol** ac a yw'n **gadarn**.*

'Gellir ystyried 'Cadarn' yn y cyd-destun hwn o fewn ei ystyr arferol o 'dangos barnu da' a 'gellir ymddiried ynddo'. Y cwestiynau neu'r 'profion' y bydd yr Arolygydd yn eu hystyried wrth benderfynu a yw'r Cynllun yn gadarn yw:

- 1. Ydy'r cynllun yn ffitio? (h.y. a yw'n gyson â chynlluniau eraill?)*
- 2. Ydy'r cynllun yn briodol? (h.y. a yw'n briodol ar gyfer yr ardal yng ngoleuni'r dystiolaeth?)*
- 3. A fydd y cynllun yn cyflawni? h.y. a yw'n debygol o fod yn effeithiol?)*

Darperir rhagor o wybodaeth am y profion cadernid a gofynion gweithdrefnol yn Arweiniad Gweithdrefnol ar Archwiliadau Cynllun Datblygu Lleol yr Arolygiaeth Gynllunio.

Os ydych yn gwrthwynebu, dylech ddweud pam rydych yn credu bod y Cynllun yn ansad a sut y dylid newid y Cynllun er mwyn ei wneud yn gadarn.

Lle cynigiwch newid i'r Cynllun, byddai o gymorth esbonio pa brawf/broffion cadernid y credwch y mae'r Cynllun yn eu methu. Os yw eich sylw yn perthyn i'r ffordd gafodd y Cynllun ei baratoi neu'r ffordd yr ymgynghorwyd arno, mae'n debygol y bydd eich sylwadau yn perthyn i 'ofynion gweithdrefnol'.

The Carmarthenshire Revised Local Development Plan (LDP) will be examined by an independent Inspector appointed by the Welsh Government. It is the Inspector's job to consider whether the Plan meets procedural requirements and whether it is sound.

'Sound' may be considered in this context within its ordinary meaning of 'showing good judgement' and 'able to be trusted'. The questions or 'tests' which the Inspector will consider in deciding whether the Plan is sound are:

1. Does the plan fit? (i.e. is it consistent with other plans?)
2. Is the plan appropriate? (i.e. is it appropriate for the area in the light of the evidence?)
3. Will the plan deliver? (i.e. is it likely to be effective?)

More information on the soundness tests and procedural requirements is provided in the Planning Inspectorate's LDP Examinations Procedural Guidance.

If you are making an objection, you should say why you think the Plan is unsound and how the Plan should be changed to make it sound.

Where you propose a change to the Plan it would be helpful to make clear which test(s) of soundness you believe the Plan fails. If your comment relates to the way in which the Plan has been prepared or consulted on, it is likely that your comments will relate to 'procedural requirements'.

Failing to identify a test will not mean that your comments will not be considered, providing it relates to the

Fydd methu adnabod prawf ddim yn golygu na chaiff eich sylwadau eu hystyried, cyhyd â'i fod yn perthyn i'r Newidiadau Canolbwyntiedig. Dylech gynnwys eich holl sylwadau ar y ffurflen, gan ddefnyddio dogfennau ychwanegol a thystiolaeth ategol lle bod angen.

Os ydych yn ceisio am fwy nag un newid i'r Cynllun, nid yw bob tro yn angenrheidiol i lenwi ffurflenni ar wahân ar gyfer pob darn o'ch sylw. Fodd bynnag, gallai fod yn ddefnyddiol o bosibl i ddefnyddio dwy ffurflen ar wahân os ydych yn dymuno siarad mewn gwrandawriad am rai gwrthwynebiadau ond nid rhai eraill.

Pan fydd grŵp yn rhannu barn gyffredin ar sut mae'n dymuno i'r Cynllun gael ei newid, byddai'n ddefnyddiol i'r grŵp hwnnw anfon ffurflen unigol gyda'u sylwadau, yn hytrach na bod nifer fawr o unigolion yn anfon ffurflenni ar wahân yn ailadrodd yr un pwynt. Mewn achosion o'r fath, dylai'r grŵp nodi faint o bobl mae'n eu cynrychioli a sut gafodd y sylw ei awdurdodi. Dylid nodi cynrychiolydd y grŵp (neu'r prif ddeisebydd) yn glir.

Plan or its supporting documents. You should include all your comments on the form, using accompanying documents and supporting evidence where necessary.

If you seek more than one change to the Plan, it is not always necessary to complete separate forms for each part of your representation. It may, however, be helpful to use two separate forms if you wish to speak at a hearing about some objections but not others.

Where a group shares a common view on how it wishes the Plan to be changed, it would be helpful for that group to send a single form with their comments, rather than for a large number of individuals to send in separate forms repeating the same point. In such cases the group should indicate how many people it is representing and how the representation has been authorised. The group's representative (or chief petitioner) should be clearly identified.